

TOM HARKIN, IOWA  
CHAIRMAN

PATRICK J. LEAHY, VERMONT  
KENT CONRAD, NORTH DAKOTA  
MAX BAUCUS, MONTANA  
BLANCHE L. LINCOLN, ARKANSAS  
DEBBIE STABENOW, MICHIGAN  
E. BENJAMIN NELSON, NEBRASKA  
SHERROD BROWN, OHIO  
ROBERT P. CASEY, JR., PENNSYLVANIA  
AMY KLOBUCHAR, MINNESOTA  
MICHAEL BENNET, COLORADO  
KIRSTEN GILLIBRAND, NEW YORK

# United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON  
AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND FORESTRY

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6000

202-224-2035

SAXBY CHAMBLISS, GEORGIA  
RANKING REPUBLICAN MEMBER

RICHARD G. LUGAR, INDIANA  
THAD COCHRAN, MISSISSIPPI  
MITCH MCCONNELL, KENTUCKY  
PAT ROBERTS, KANSAS  
MIKE JOHANNIS, NEBRASKA  
CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA  
JOHN THUNE, SOUTH DAKOTA  
JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS

August 17, 2009

Mr. William Murphy, Administrator  
Risk Management Agency  
U.S. Department of Agriculture  
South Agriculture Building, Room 6092-S  
1400 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, DC 20250

Dear Mr. Murphy:

The Federal Crop Insurance Program is a key risk management tool for America's farmers and ranchers. Since the private sector delivers this public program, it is essential that the Risk Management Agency (RMA) and the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (the Corporation) work cooperatively with Approved Insurance Providers (AIPs) and their representatives to provide critical insurance coverage to agricultural producers efficiently and effectively. The foundation for such collaboration is a fair and equitable Standard Reinsurance Agreement (SRA) achieved through substantive, mutual negotiations.

Section 12017 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (FCEA) modifies Section 508(k) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act to set new rules for renegotiating the SRA, which is expected to begin soon. The FCEA permits the Corporation to negotiate a new SRA that would be effective for the 2011 reinsurance year, and then once in every five years subsequently. Subsequent changes in federal law requiring modifications in the financial terms of the SRA are not considered a renegotiation for purposes of that limitation.

The FCEA requires the Corporation to consider, in the renegotiation, alternative methods for determining reimbursement rates for administrative and operating costs, although it does not require a new method to be adopted if no suitable alternative is found. Any new approach must provide fair and adequate compensation to support program delivery so that farmers and ranchers continue to have access to insurance. Consequently, we would ask that any analyses of the impacts of alternative approaches conducted or commissioned by RMA be shared with the AIPs (with whom you will be negotiating), other interested parties (such as groups representing crop insurance agents), and relevant Congressional committees, in order to allow an opportunity to critique the assumptions and methodologies used.

Congress envisions the renegotiation process as a genuine back-and-forth negotiation rather than one which is in effect a rulemaking by RMA and the Corporation. You are aware of complaints on this

Mr. William Murphy, RMA Administrator  
Aug. 17, 2009  
Page Two

point arising from the 2005 SRA renegotiation process. To be sure, though, RMA and the Corporation have an obligation to seek efficiency and cost-effective use of taxpayer dollars within the bounds of maintaining a strong crop insurance program that sufficiently helps America's agricultural producers manage their risks. To address concerns regarding certain aspects of the renegotiation process, the FCEA stipulates that AIPs may confer with each other, and collectively with RMA and the Corporation, during the renegotiation process. The AIPs are thus allowed to confer about the proposed terms of the SRA, but must abide by antitrust laws.

Finally, in your SRA renegotiations, and in carrying out the crop insurance program generally, we urge you to seek improvements that will benefit agricultural producers and improve the delivery of insurance, for example, through reducing the paperwork burden for farmers and agents to the maximum extent possible without compromising the program's integrity.

We want to thank you and your staff for the work that you do in managing this successful USDA program. In the interest of preserving the strength of federal crop insurance, we appreciate the steps RMA has already taken to conduct these negotiations in a cooperative way, and we hope that approach continues. Please let us know if we or our committee staff members, Stephanie Mercier and Christy Seyfert, can be of any assistance.

Sincerely,

<u>Zachariah</u>	<u>Sandy Chaublin</u>
<u>Mr. F. B. I</u>	<u>to Benjamin Nelson</u>
<u>Henry Long</u>	<u>My Barnes</u>
<u>Pat</u>	<u>John Thru</u>
<u>Chuck Grassley</u>	<u>Kay Bailey Hutchison</u>

The first part of the  
 document is a list of  
 names and addresses  
 of the members of the  
 committee. The names  
 are written in blue  
 ink and are arranged  
 in two columns. The  
 addresses are written  
 in black ink and are  
 arranged in two columns  
 below the names.